2014 SEP -8 PM 2:

The following letters were submitted electronically to Sierra Club by our members and supporters regarding **Docket No. L-2014-2404361**, Proposed Rulemaking Order for the Implementation of the Alternative Energy Portfolio Standards Act of 2004.

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Seriously, it is up to everyone on planet to do their part to preserve it. A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

We must promote smart conservation, not stand in the way of it.

Sincerely, Betsy Daniels 2011 SED - 8 DN 2: 3

IRRC

Jean Bickley 333 Short Ln Elkins Park, PA 19027-3413 (215) 635-2205

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Jean Bickley

Mary Rooney 2940 Fairfield Dr Allentown, PA 18103-5532

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I am looking into putting solar on my rooftop. I want PA PUC to promote these small solar projects, not charge them more.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Mary Rooney

Robert Root 724 Hamilton St Easton, PA 18042-1507

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I realize that it is important for the commission to balance the interests of consumers and utilities, but the proposed changes in regulation of solar generation are tipping the balance too much in the favor of utilities.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Robert Root

Kevin Clark 70a McHenry St Stillwater, PA 17878-9440

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar.

I am angered that the PUC would in any way constrict solar. Your job is to make certain that renewables and off the grid living is possible for every Pennsylvanian. These proposed policy changes smack of the fear that fossil fuel based utilities are going to lose their monopoly and their ability to keep Commonwealth citizens like myself tied to a dependency on fossil fuel and a grid that continues to imperil our planet with greenhouse gases all for the sake of corporate profits. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Kevin Clark

Cynthia Myers 6991 Saint Annes Dr Fayetteville, PA 17222-9454 (717) 352-0082

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

We are the owners of a house with solar panels. We made the decision to make the initial investment, which was not small, so that we could use renewable energy and help save our planet. The electric companies are not well managed or they would have already engaged to their own solar power generators. We, the consumers, see the advantage and have made the investments. DO NOT PENALIZE US!

Sincerely, Cynthia Myers

John Rankin PO Box 512 Reeders, PA 18352-0512

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. Please do not give in to big oil. Again.

Sincerely, John Rankin

Lori Rutch 217 2nd St Coaldale, PA 18218-1534

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Unless you are for big business, you will stop this attack on solar energy!!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Lori Rutch

Kevin Kays 307 S Webster Ave Scranton, PA 18505-1315 (570) 851-1317

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use. NOW is the time to do the right thing for Pennsylvania and our children. States all around us are doing great things for the development of good clean renewable energy resources. NOW is the time for us to get on board!!!!!

Sincerely, Kevin Kays

Holly Kruper PO Box 384 East Berlin, PA 17316-0384

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Please, please join me in the 21st Century. As a forever Pennsylvania resident, I am embarrassed that we would discourage solar power. It needs to be encouraged. Please and thank you.

Sincerely, Holly Kruper

Garry M. Doll 400 Lycoming St Apt 201 Williamsport, PA 17701-4957 (570) 327-8599

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Stop living in the past! Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Garry M. Doll

Lana Hill 3223 Red Maple Ln Pocono Pines, PA 18350-7727 (570) 646-3029

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. Solar & wind power are essential parts of the entirety of the power grid.
- 5. We, your customers are depending on you to embrace all methods of power generation, fossil fuels, solar, and wind.

Sincerely, Lana Hill

Michael Levesque 766 6 Mile Run Defiance, PA 16633

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Pa should be promoting clean energy!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Michael Levesque

Shelley Huston 4061 E Lake Rd Jamestown, PA 16134-4523 (724) 932-3612

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Government should not interfere with a citizen's ability to control their own expenses, especially when there are independent ways to support greedy fossil fuel monopolies.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Shelley Huston

William Gontram 1205 E 4th St Bethlehem, PA 18015-2009

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

THESE PROPOSALS MAKE NO SENSE WHEN CONSIDERED RELATIVE TO THE COMMISSION'S PURPOSE AND RESPONSIBILITIES.

Sincerely, William Gontram

Heather Fastiggi 106 Evergreen Ter Cresco, PA 18326-7946

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Electric companies should be looking for and encouraging ways to develop clean, renewable energy sources. There is no excuse for making it harder for individuals to take their own steps towards environmentally sound energy production. Don't sell out our environment and our future to fossil fuel companies!

Sincerely, Heather Fastiggi

Thomas Hiegel 2652 Lakeside Dr Erie, PA 16511-1252 (814) 899-9366

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

This idea to kill solar, wind and alternate energy sources is outrageous, self serving and disgraceful. My cousin Jason C Wright, Mio Michigan died in Iraq defending a USA oil pipeline. My son in law was wounded terribly as well. All to keep us dependent on foreign fossil fuels. The PUC should invest in renewable energy not fight it! I will join others to stop you from sending our sons and daughter to die on oil fields. This is shameful!

Tom Hiegel

Erie Pa

Sincerely, Thomas Hiegel

Kevin Ulatowski 581 Orchard Rd Mercer, PA 16137-2821

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. As you know, net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. This is simply a ploy to ensure that we remain dependent on those utilities for our power needs, thus keeping their outrageous profits intact and allowing ridiculous cash bonuses to utility big wigs to continue. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge new special monthly fees to customers with solar. These new fees would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. New fees would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generator's electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Kevin Ulatowski

Robert Kraft 455 Moritz Rd Orrtanna, PA 17353-9317 (717) 642-5136

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

This whole nonsensical idea will only encourage customers to go "off the grid" entirely which will be entirely possible as solar systems improve. Are we in this for the good of all or just for the profits of utility companies?

Sincerely, Robert Kraft

Doug and Wilda Stanfield 127 W Curtin St Bellefonte, PA 16823-1620 (814) 353-1456

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I'm using a message crafted by the Sierra Club, below, but wanted to add here at the beginning that we are fully behind the idea that Pennsylvania needs to assure us a reasonable transition from dirty power sources.

We see no reason to give utility companies a lock on income from providing solar panels. PUC needs to protect the competitive market that would allow us to find the best price, and further drive down costs.

In addition, power companies should not be allowed to blockade the transition to renewables over the coming decades, and policies that mandate intelligent metering based on home systems needs to be implemented.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be

difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Doug and Wilda Stanfield

Kathleen Kaminski 15866 East Ave Blue Ridge Summit, PA 17214-9709 (717) 794-0177

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Why would the PUC consider charging fees for those that are investing in solar energy? We, as the people, have the right to move forward with our choice of energy sources.

Sincerely, Kathleen Kaminski

Ken Myers 105 W Broad St New Holland, PA 17557-1211

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

SOMEONE in this office is NOT thinking this through: We should be helping the environment and keeping costs DOWN. When the only interest is to acquire MORE money, it will not work. Thank you, KEN

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Ken Myers

Kathryn Lesslie 4907 Col John Kelly Rd Lewisburg, PA 17837-7603 (570) 523-7831

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use. Limiting solar is a major step backwards for the environment, consumers, and national security in forcing many people to continue to rely on oil. Please do not set solar progress in Pennsylvania backwards. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely, Kathryn Lesslie

Anita Jacobus 71 S 4th St Hughesville, PA 17737-1701 (570) 584-6069

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

The utilities know full well that the future is in solar and wind technology. Instead of trying to hamper these new technologies, they should be devising ways to incorporate them into their own businesses. Global warming and climate change are real problems that demand real solutions. Utilities have to decide whether they're going to be part of the problem or part of the solution. Discouraging the newer technologies is only going to prolong the problem. If nothing else, they might want to consider the fact that they're trying to make more money at the expense of not only my future generations but also their

own -- and their children and grandchildren may want an explanation of why making more money was more important to them than their own families!

Sincerely, Anita Jacobus

Andrea Barrier 2042 Hopewell Rd Oxford, PA 19363-4005 (610) 932-1956

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

That this is even being considered is absolutely CRAZY....steps to promote the use of solar energy is where effort should be spent NOT ways to obstruct people from using it. If the companies that charge us for energy were using ways of harnessing solar energy for generation this would not even be an issue.

Sincerely, Andrea Barrier

Frank Buckwalter 6258 Main St Honey Brook, PA 19344-9124

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. Germany gets a large percentage of it's electricity from roof top solar.

Sincerely, Frank Buckwalter

Lyle And Nancy Horn 1485 Vincent Ave Watsontown, PA 17777-8165 (570) 916-6537

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. We have a rooftop solar system and the net metering rules were an important factor in our decision to install it. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate. This is just a way to cheat solar owners.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Lyle And Nancy Horn

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

We have 32 solar panels on our home and they are wonderful. Solar, wind and geothermal are the cleanest means of providing energy. PA should be doing everything in its power to encourage these three forms of energy.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

We have 32 solar panels on our home and they are wonderful. Solar, wind and geothermal are the cleanest means of providing energy. PA should be doing everything in its power to encourage these three forms of energy.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

We have 32 solar panels on our home and they are wonderful. Solar, wind and geothermal are the cleanest means of providing energy. PA should be doing everything in its power to encourage these three forms of energy.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

We have 32 solar panels on our home and they are wonderful. Solar, wind and geothermal are the cleanest means of providing energy. PA should be doing everything in its power to encourage these three forms of energy.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Linda Small 7 Leader Rd New Freedom, PA 17349-9206

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Don't kill solar jobs and benefits to satisfy the greed of utilities. Solar is a huge benefit to everyone, especially on hot summer days. Solar panels providing power on those days help keep the electricity flowing for everyone, as any excess electricity goes back on the grid to someone else's air conditioner. The utility benefits because the power stays on, as do consumers, and the cost to the utility for this peak power is much lower than turning on power plants. Changing the rules to once again benefit the entrenched fossil fuel interests will lead to higher costs for all and kill many good jobs in PA.

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Linda Small

Winston Gibson 537 Welligton Rd Norristown, PA 19403-4176

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. I am a voter who cares, and will remember those who advocate for the public and also those who advocate for the utility companies.

Sincerely, Winston Gibson

Nowell Smith 2750 Tara Ln York, PA 17408-9553 (717) 792-0660

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

As a home owner with roof top solar system that I paid for! I did this to save energy use which Helps the Utility Company!! Now they want to make money off me for trying to help out. DO NOT allow the greedy Utility Companies to charge home owners for making the investment in alternative energy!!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Nowell Smith

Nancy Coleman 309 Plank Rd Hollsopple, PA 15935-6415

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Don't crush my dreams. I hope to be able to install my own solar panels in the next four or five years. I want to live off the grid as much as possible.

Please, let fairness and common sense prevail.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Nancy Coleman

Cynthia Duda 8025 Buffalo Rd Harborcreek, PA 16421-1209

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I'm leaving the Sierra Club's justifications in here because I agree with all of them, but I have to strongly state that this is clearly a corporate grab for the power to make more money and undermine individual freedom. They have no incentive right now to provide solar-why can't we give them some competition? Why should we have to change providers to pay more for solar energy as a provider when we can harness some of the energy for ourselves? Why would anyone want to discourage this? Please stop protecting these big companies who contribute to global warming!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Cynthia Duda

Peter Rubba 728 Sunset Rd State College, PA 16803-3451 (814) 238-8623

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

The Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) recently proposed changes to rules that would make it harder for Pennsylvanians to put solar on their rooftops. This makes no sense in light of Global Atmospheric Change. Every kilowatt generated by completely clean solar power replaces a kilowatt generated by the burning fossil fuels that releases carbon dioxide, other green house gases, and pollutants (e.g., mercury) into the atmosphere.

Encouraging solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

The PUC should be encouraging the implementation of solar power, not

putting in place roadblocks. The proposed rule changes should not be implemented

Sincerely, Peter Rubba

Andrew Yurick 11 Verbeck St Warren, PA 16365-2656

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Considering what Germany has done to move beyond fossil fuel dependance, the US should bend over backwards to make our nation the leader in solar energy friendly legislation and Pennsylvania should not be dragging it's feet on what the middle class wants and needs.

Sincerely, Andrew Yurick

Shane Hicks-Lee 173 Saint Marys St Blossburg, PA 16912-1334 (570) 638-3626

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I can't believe you are considering rules that would make going solar harder!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Shane Hicks-Lee

john whalen 524 Haven Lane West Warfordsburg, PA 17267

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I am proud to be living in a newly built home which i am about to invest in solar panels for. I am a firm believer that a transition to renewable energy is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Solar power continues to become less expensive and we need to do everything we can to enable more people to take advantage of PV as a way to reduce their long term energy costs. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fee to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generator's electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

It's time to accelerate adoption of renewable technologies, not put on the brakes.

Sincerely, john whalen

James Cleghorn 2771 Paradise Rd Reynoldsville, PA 15851-8621 (814) 427-6050

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I have solar photovoltaic and solar thermal on my barn roof thanks in part to PA's Project Sunshine and net metering. This is ALEC-type crap that Gov Corbett is pushing for his methane gas supporters. (And don't tell me you don't know what ALEC is.) The PUC should not be tainted by such raw politics.

So I support what the Sierra Club has to say about this

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely,

James Cleghorn

Elizabeth Loser 1876 Deerfield Dr Dover, PA 17315-3707 (717) 887-6633

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Please make it easy for us to transition away from fossil fuels as we must do sooner better than later.

Sincerely, Elizabeth Loser

Richard A 6571 Buchanan Trl W Mercersburg, PA 17236-9570

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Are you people crazy? Please disclose which utilities have bought you off!

Sincerely, Richard A

Michael Mclane 801 Jane Ln South Abington Township, PA 18411-9329 (570) 586-5353

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania offered Millions of dollars in State grants for residents to install solar, and then let the program fail. The State has let the Renewable Energy Credit market fail and refuse to make any changes to revive it. I feel I have been mislead by the State & it's solar program. I refinanced my home to install Solar & have lost out on the renewable energy credits to pay down my system and now you have the nerve to stick it to the solar owners once again. Give the homeowners & small business owners what they deserve, FAIRNESS!! Stop trying to breakup a good thing. I have

suffered enough finically.

5. This whole issue makes me sick. I tried to do the right thing finically and environmentally only to get screwed, not once but maybe the second time. DO NOT Grant this request(s) and lets try to grow the Pennsylvania Solar Market

Sincerely, Michael Mclane

John Rohrer 220 Loring Ct New Cumberland, PA 17070-3156

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

I have information that such solar-limiting proposals are the work of the Kock brothers through their ALEC organization. Apparently they have infiltrated the PUC as well as the legislatures. Shame on you for abandoning the general good for the benefit of the moneyed elite.

Sincerely, John Rohrer

John Shalanski PO Box 6 Conyngham, PA 18219-0006 (570) 788-0634

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Our son is a mechanical engineer working for Joule Energy specializing in the design and installation of solar energy systems. If you want to know the benefit of solar power, I can have him come and testify.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, John Shalanski

Meredith Doran 928 Metz Ave State College, PA 16801-4122 (814) 234-6945

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Support solar energy in Pennsylvania (without added fees)

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Solar power is a wonderful source of energy that will protect the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and will not contribute massively to climate change. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to assist this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Thank you for your attention to these issues.

Sincerely, Meredith Doran

Curtis And Candace Sawyer 80 Hillcrest Dr Doylestown, PA 18901-2955 (215) 345-8649

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Please do not allow the vested interests of carbon-intensive utilities to preserve the status quo at the expense of those of us who wish to move to a carbon-free future, with all of the attendant benefits to health and the environment. The PUC, which is intended to serve the interests of the people of Pennsylvania, should use its good office to move us in the direction of the future, not bow to the will of commercial interests who wish to forestall positive change.

Sincerely,

Curtis And Candace Sawyer

Janice Levan 308 E Bertsch St Lansford, PA 18232-2110

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Why would you deny citizens the ability to become self sufficient? Are you owned by large corporate pirate companies, who do not want fair market practices, that you would make the people pay for wanting fair pricing and green energy? Only an insane mind would think this is sane legislation!

It is time people in positions of power, used those positions to help the people... NOT THEIR OWN POCKETS OR AGENDAS!

Sincerely,

Janice Levan

Charles Spencer 400 E Clarissa Ln Johnstown, PA 15909-1310 (814) 322-1084

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I don't understand why the interests of power companies are being put above those of our citizens!!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Charles Spencer

Charles Spencer 400 E Clarissa Ln Johnstown, PA 15909-1310 (814) 322-1084

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I don't understand why the interests of power companies are being put above those of our citizens!!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Charles Spencer

Donald Bryant 104 Nea Yuka St Port Matilda, PA 16870-9313 (814) 692-4464

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

Like most things in this country these days--just look at solar and Kansas--the utilities and sometimes even the government have it just backwards. PUC should insure that every person in PA that can have solar does have solar. We need to get a serious and workable energy policy, and that includes personal solar energy usage. Do not put up barriers to this implementation and do NOT allow utilities to manipulate and charge customers for the modernization that should be a part of their public participation and trust.

No fees for solar usage. Get with the program!

Sincerely, Donald Bryant

Michael McKinne 1846 Mount Pleasant Rd Mount Joy, PA 17552-8517 (717) 653-8782

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate. I installed solar four years ago to reduce my carbon footprint and reduce my monthly energy costs. I am on a fixed income and each dollar I spend is important to me. My utility bill (PPL) currently has a fixed \$14.12 distribution charge. A new fee would create a financial hardship for my wife and me.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. PA should be encouraging small scale renewable energy systems not penalizing them. The fossil fuel industry receives tens of millions of dollars in subsidies each year. This industry has never paid the real cost of the waste they generate and continues to spew CO2 and other

harmful emissions into the air. The solar in my back yard does not pollute and has in fact saved 397,000 lbs. of CO2. Why should I be penalized for doing good things?

Sincerely, Michael McKinne

Andrea Theisson 965 Black Horse Tavern Rd Gettysburg, PA 17325-7219 (717) 337-3044

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Let's think like we care about the GreenFuture, and defend property-owner rights:

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Andrea Theisson

Michael Bucell 12607 Forrest Dr Edinboro, PA 16412-1273

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Public utilities need to support all forms of renewable energy, including solar. It is against the public interest to create obstacles in order to maximize corporate profits from less expensive fuel sources (i.e. carbon) and harm the environment in the process. The public demands that opportunities to promote renewable energy generation and use not be impeded in order to have a secure energy future.

Sincerely, Michael Bucell

Robert Wasilewski 59 Sorbertown Hl Hunlock Creek, PA 18621-3800

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fee to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generator's electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Those who have installed their own home solar systems are contributing to the health of the state's and the nation's power grid by conserving electricity. In addition, they are contributing to the solution to the world's climate crisis. Penalizing them, via the proposed fee, for conserving energy and emitting less carbon dioxide is absurd.

You MUST reject this proposal.

Sincerely,

Robert Wasilewski

Stacey Mason 202 E Bell Ave Altoona, PA 16602-5202 (814) 207-3595

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Please allow people to do what then can to help the environment. I don't see how anyone wouldn't want to make earth a better place unless you make money destroying the environment. Those people are the only ones who could care less about the health of our planet. A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Stacey Mason

Daniel Gallagher 1151 Joann Ave Ephrata, PA 17522-1514 (717) 738-2992

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Don't Shoot Yourself in the Foot! (Re: Solar Array Rule Changes)

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Solar arrays help balance loads (peaking supply roughly at the same time as demand) and reduce transmission losses. Overall this is a win-win for the PUC. The proposed rule changes to limit solar to 110% of a owners usage and charging fees for solar arrays on the grid will discourage a cost saving (and therefore money-making) addition to the portfolio of PUC energy suppliers and distributors. To do that would be stupid and cost you more in the long run.

Take advantage of the new technology - don't fight it!

Sincerely, Daniel Gallagher

Shirley Moore 314 N 4th St Philipsburg, PA 16866-1404

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

In any way possible we need to make it more attractive to use solar. Roof top solar in NO was is any concern of a PUBLIC utility. We are in the grip of climate change and while I am not sure it can be stopped we at least need to try. I would love to have solar but can not afford it at the present time. I do my part in small ways to help keep the earth alive and beautiful. For many years utilities have encouraged people to use energy wisely and limit use when possible especially on high use days. They have helped buy energy efficient appliances. Perhaps instead of gaining from my using solar they should be helping to pay for it.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Shirley Moore

Michael Brock 258 Taft Rd Saint Marys, PA 15857-3442 (814) 834-2425

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

We are planning on installing solar panels sometime next year. The PUC should be encouraging NOT discouraging citizens from installing solar.

Sincerely, Michael Brock

Roger and Mary Kay Turner 136 Whisler Rd Gettysburg, PA 17325-7846 (717) 334-4206

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. Increasing solar construction and use will help Pennsylvania companies employ more workers. This will make more tax income for our state and counties. We should not pass regulations that stifle our economy.

Sincerely, Roger and Mary Kay Turner

Marcell Cleinow 914 Spring Garden St Easton, PA 18042-3389

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

We should be encouraging alternative and renewable energy sources not the other way around. This seems to be yet another attempt by the large energy corporations to use their huge lobbying funds to keep the average citizen from striving for sustainability. We should be SUPPLYING solar panels for any one that wants them not making regulations to discourage their use.

Sincerely, Marcell Cleinow



Darla Hess 99 Longs Rd Bloomsburg, PA 17815-7603 (570) 784-7456

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

 STOP THE GREED!!!!!!!!!you pigs!

Sincerely, Darla Hess

Katharine Dodge 1632 Lake Ariel Hwy Lake Ariel, PA 18436-4121

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I am appalled at the idea that I should be charged a fee for producing CLEAN, climate-calming solar energy! I have solar panels on my roof so that I can feel more comfortable about my energy use--that it is not playing a role in spewing more carbon into the air!

Why, when we desperately need solar power, are we even contemplating roadblocks to such systems? This is insane!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely,

Katharine Dodge

Patrick Socoski PO Box 37 Osceola Mills, PA 16666-0037 (814) 339-6532

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Thank you.

Sincerely, Patrick Socoski

Christy Curran 875 Braman Rd Equinunk, PA 18417-3132

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

STAY OUT OF OUR LIFE

Sincerely, Christy Curran

Kenneth Bogle 5252 Price Ave Coopersburg, PA 18036-1314

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. Personalized message: I am not a utility, generating power for others and making a huge profit. If I, as a home owner, am willing to pay for the installation of infrastructure to generate clean electric, what justification is there for the electric company to tax me for doing so!? And if my clean electricity can help the electric company proved power to others that is not dependent on fossil fuels, isn't that a good thing? Net metering is valid. Any rules change that hinders the installation of solar on the rooftops of homeowners smacks of graft is taints the reputation of the PUC beyond repair.

Sincerely, Kenneth Bogle

Anthony Waldron 1006 Towpath Rd Hawley, PA 18428-4653

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I am very upset that the PUC is considering this new rule to allow utilities to impose a charge to customers using solar power. I am one of the owners of the Hawley Silk Mil, which is an 1880 silk mill that was renovated to create a multi-use—facility, including a satellite campus for Lackawanna College, a regional center for the American Red Cross, Maternal and Family Health Services and Workforce Wayne training center, as well as private offices, retail stores and a performance space with concerts and comedy every weekend. One of the features of this 50,000 sq. ft. building is an array of solar panels on the roof that provide approximately 25% of the building's electricity. This was paid for in part with a \$100,000.00 PA Solar Grant in 2010, which is consistent with the National policy to become more energy independent.

TO NOW IMPOSE A RESTRICTION ON THIS POLICY AND A DISINCENTIVE TO FUTURE SOLAR INSTALLATIONS IS LUDICROUS AND ANTI-CONSUMER!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be

difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Anthony Waldron

William Gleason 3030 N 3rd St Harrisburg, PA 17110-2102

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if customer-generated electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, William Gleason

R. Lamar Witmer 316 College Ave Lancaster, PA 17603-3318

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fee to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, R. Lamar Witmer

Edgar Dreyman 2255 Rising Hill Rd Whitehall, PA 18052-3984

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Please consider these concerns and make decisions that do not negatively effect renewable energy sources that are so critical for our future.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely, Edgar Dreyman

Marta Peck 571 Linden Ave Apt 2 York, PA 17404-3360 (610) 698-9202

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

This proposed regulation is not in the interest of consumers. It is simply a disgusting kickback to electric utilities, with no regard for the necessity of non-polluting, renewable energy. It is a cynical denial of the environmental crisis we face in this state, this country and this planet. Tell the utilities to get on the bandwagon and help consumers move to reduced energy consumption. They can make lots of money doing energy audits, selling all kinds of energy managers, etc., instead of screwing consumers and the planet.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Marta Peck

lan Campbell 382 E South St Wilkes Barre, PA 18702-6316 (570) 822-6090

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.

The Pocono Motor Racing Facility announced today it has created billions of hours of power from solar power, safely and cleanly. We should all have that opportunity.

- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Ian Campbell

Gene Fuhrman 105 Stone Hedge Ct Lebanon, PA 17042-7807

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

4.As a Pennsylvania resident, I am strongly in favor of alternative energy, especially solar and the positive impact investing in it would have on the environment.

Sincerely, Gene Fuhrman

Gene Fuhrman 105 Stone Hedge Ct Lebanon, PA 17042-7807

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4.As a Pennsylvania resident, I am strongly in favor of alternative energy, especially solar and the positive impact investing in it would have on the environment.

Sincerely, Gene Fuhrman

Susan Brubaker 202 Reily St Harrisburg, PA 17102-2545 (717) 395-2383

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Thank you for taking a closer look at this critical issue.

Sincerely, Susan Brubaker

Paul Damon 1791 Wisteria Dr Chambersburg, PA 17202-3009 (717) 809-3717

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use. Stop putting roadblocks in front of people which help them to save money since you government people cant get it done.

Sincerely, Paul Damon

Darlin McDaniel 55 Woodstock Rd Fayetteville, PA 17222-1414 (717) 352-2238

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fee to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Don't discourage solar generated electricity. We have only one inhabitable planet--we must take care of it or we will not survive!

Sincerely, Darlin McDaniel

John Bryner 968 Penn Wood Ct Chambersburg, PA 17201-4908 (717) 263-8186

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. In order for free enterprise to function we do not need to constantly protect industries that inhibit new technologies at the expense of the consumer. Public Utilities are not acting in the interest of the public but only in their own interest. Its time to change the rules and take the power out of the hands of the industry.

Sincerely, John Bryner

Elise Kucirka Salahub 2375 Oak St Lebanon, PA 17042-5731 (717) 273-7201

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I am adamantly opposed to the proposed rules that would serve to protect carbon based utilities. Solar power is our future. The PUC must serve the best interests of Pennsylvanians, not the utility companies that want to preserve the status quo and their anachronistic carbon based energy. I no longer want to patronize energy companies that want to undermine the development of solar energy and extort fees for their profits. Carbon based fuels are the cause of climate change and global warming. This is not the legacy I want to leave my children and future generations. I want to be part of the future of renewable energy and want our PUC to support and protect solar energy.development and its residential and commercial uses.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a

customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Elise Kucirka Salahub

Elise Kucirka Salahub 2375 Oak St Lebanon, PA 17042-5731 (717) 273-7201

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I am adamantly opposed to the proposed rules that would serve to protect carbon based utilities. Solar power is our future. The PUC must serve the best interests of Pennsylvanians, not the utility companies that want to preserve the status quo and their anachronistic carbon based energy. I no longer want to patronize energy companies that want to undermine the development of solar energy and extort fees for their profits. Carbon based fuels are the cause of climate change and global warming. This is not the legacy I want to leave my children and future generations. I want to be part of the future of renewable energy and want our PUC to support and protect solar energy development and its residential and commercial uses.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a

customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Elise Kucirka Salahub

Martha Knight 407 N Main St Port Allegany, PA 16743-1125 (814) 642-7552

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

It is not in the PUBLIC interest for there to be rules or policies that would inhibit the expansion of the use of solar power, or the generation of solar power by building owners and users.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Martha Knight

Jesse Dellinger 61 Lancaster Est Mount Joy, PA 17552-1704 (111) 111-1111

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

https://movetoamend.org/

http://freespeechforpeople.org/

http://termlimits.org/

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Jesse Dellinger

Catherine Kurzdorfer 56 Pleasant St Bradford, PA 16701-1251 (814) 331-4193

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I just found out that the PUC wants to set up new rules that will limit the benefits of solar energy in PA. The transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Catherine Kurzdorfer

Mary Ellen Snyder 5231 Geissinger Rd Zionsville, PA 18092-2215 (610) 965-0856

Jul 24, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Please accept my comments below:

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Mary Ellen Snyder

Roderick Skelley 2115 18th St Altoona, PA 16601-2529 (814) 941-2988

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

My wife and I have been considering adding solar panels to our new house and these rules could mean that solar panels may be unfeasible for our budget.

Sincerely, Roderick Skelley

R. Timothy Smith 113 Kathryn Dr Bellefonte, PA 16823-7952

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Please Encourage the Use of Solar Power, Not Hinder It with Unnecessary New Rules

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power, especially at the level of individual home owners, is a way for each of us to protect our health and the natural beauty of our Commonwealth, as well as avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the PUC's proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Specific concerns with the proposal include:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge any new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to the full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is already no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer's electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, R. Timothy Smith

Leon Stratton 112 Penn St Kingston, PA 18704-2620

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. The future of energy is moving toward a model in which more power is generated locally and/or at the customers location. It should be obvious that this is an attempt by the PUC to ensure that no consumer can have freedom from the grid, an attempt to perpetuate themselves. The fact that this is an attempt to charge people that have an excess of power means that it is aimed at people that don't actually consume the product. There is no benefit for the consumer in these proposals, only limitations that will make Solar Power less appealing for potential solar adoptees.

Sincerely, Leon Stratton

William O'Konski 1000 Vine St Avoca, PA 18641-1688

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- P.S. You corrupt BASTARDS continually screw "We the People" every chance you get

Sincerely, William O'Konski

Margo Picou 31 Walnut St Towanda, PA 18848-1936

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

It's a tragedy to continue using fossil fuels. Sunshine is plentiful and cheap. Everything must be done to utilize it for our energy needs. A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Margo Picou

Lori Tanger 1361 Moulstown Rd N Hanover, PA 17331-6847

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. The consumer has to put a lot of money to put solar in place and I believe the electric coming should have to pay half if their going to be charging fees.

I think solar is an excellent idea and there are lots of school and mall roof tops that could go solar or eco roofing.

The real future of energy is in photo synthesis and the electric company should be working towards new technologies and not wasting money and time trying to milk the consumer. All the money and clean up they've done over the years could have been put towards lines near the ground surface and solar etc. By 2050 it won;t matter anyway if we

don't start going in the right direction.

Sincerely, Lori Tanger

Caroline Blose 1103 S Mountain Rd Dillsburg, PA 17019-9790 (717) 432-9976

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

It is time for your to support the citizens of PA and not the utility companies. Rates are outrageous and people are struggling to either eat or pay their electric bill. This should not be. It is time for the PUC to step up and do what is right for the citizens of Pennsylvania.

Sincerely, Caroline Blose

Diane Dreier 45 Dorchester Dr Dallas, PA 18612-1401

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Make is easier, not harder, for Pennsylvanians to use solar energy

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

The PUC needs to work for the people of Pennsylvania and not the big energy corporations.

Do what you can to make it easier for Pennsylvanians to use solar energy.

Do not put roadblocks in the way of a transition to clean, renewable, sustainable energy sources.

My children and grandchildren and your children and grandchildren are depending upon you to make their future cleaner.

Sincerely, Diane Dreier

Ron Skrzysowski 245 Main St Duryea, PA 18642-1030 (570) 706-5865

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

So before you political hacks seek to DESTROY something positive BEFORE it even starts, I would DEMAND you think again and side with the people.

Sincerely, Ron Skrzysowski

Nicholas Wilde 1214 First Ave Media, PA 19063-1209

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Stop intimidating good people! Your efforts to remain in control of energy in this world are fear-based and ruled by a false belief in scarcity of resources. People have the right to pursue a free life and to be happy and in control of their own destinies.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition.

Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Nicholas Wilde

Kim Rauch 1746 Moonlite Park Rd Hollsopple, PA 15935-7719 (814) 479-2895

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

With new construction on my property, the design was specifically oriented with future solar in mind. The individual should not be penalized for making a right choice in this energy matter

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Kim Rauch

Joseph Casabona 436 Spruce St Scranton, PA 18503-1842 (845) 475-8016

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Aside from the recommended message below: Why would you make it harder to do something like this? Whose pocket are you in?

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Joseph Casabona

Susan & Delaware Ave.
James City, PA 16734

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Our country and our citizens need to do everything we can to stop using dirty fossil fuels. We should be leading the way in the world; not trying to put the brakes on when it comes to renewable resources.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Susan & Sus

James Kisilewicz 4806 Freemansburg Ave Easton, PA 18045-5531

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. Corporations are not People and money is not speech. Please make me the First class Citizen and stop always considering the profit motive of a Corporation over the actions that we must take to become more Carbon Independent.

Sincerely, James Kisilewicz

Karen Fisher 239 Golf Course Rd Hunlock Creek, PA 18621-3128

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Support and encourage solar power!

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

You already know that coal and gas are dead-end roads. While these energy sources are declining, it will take time and planning to transition to a sustainably powered grid. Maybe it's inconvenient for the utilities. Maybe there are some additional costs now. But the long-term costs of not moving to sustainables will be staggering. Net metering is currently the best idea we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new monthly fee to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to oversize systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could increase time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generator's electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Karen Fisher

barbara lang 185 Kathryn Dr Bellefonte, PA 16823-7952

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.

The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.

New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. It is unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, barbara lang

George Faulkner 105 Vernon Ln Yardley, PA 19067-7327

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. It's used in New Jersey. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, George Faulkner

Christine Weigand 41 Bird Ln Lake Ariel, PA 18436-4080 (570) 729-1103

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Christine Weigand

Rodney Holcomb 1364 Spring Hill Rd Eagleville, PA 19403-3881

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

It is an absolute shame that the PPUC would even think of instituting restrictive, punitive measures for our one and only truly non-polluting energy source.

Sincerely, Rodney Holcomb

Catherine Mott 79 Plummer McCullough Rd Mercer, PA 16137-4947 (724) 475-1182

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Our country was founded upon the principle of Freedom of Choice, providing that such choice is not harmful or infringes upon other rights. Respectfully, one should be able to choose how we use sources for electricity. Many folks not only want alternative sources but embrace it because they understand that our rich resources are "finite" resources, and they care about the future for others.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely,

Catherine Mott

Debbie Kosinski 6682 Route 191 Cresco, PA 18326-7998

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

The greed has to stop!

Sincerely, Debbie Kosinski

Kelly Rogers 220 N Cornwall Rd E Cornwall, PA 17016 (717) 274-2906

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

I have been waiting since the 70's to be able to afford to go solar and with these regulations I won't be able to afford it in my lifetime!

Sincerely, Kelly Rogers

Helen Raymond 240 River Rd Falls, PA 18615-7911

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Fifty years ago, when I worked for a gas & water company, the PUC worked with my company, sometimes to the detriment of the consumers. I see you guys are still doing it - protect the big guy who makes the money and forget the consumer you are SUPPOSED TO PROTECT!

Sincerely, Helen Raymond

Marie Snavely 445 S Cedar St Lititz, PA 17543-2734 (717) 626-5195

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Thank you for considering my concerns.

Sincerely, Marie Snavely

Sue Pfeffer 1658 E Main St Douglassville, PA 19518-9121 (610) 385-6303

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. Is this truely the land of the FREE when our own government wants to right so many laws that with each new law it limits our freedom. To allow energy companies to controll a natural resorce as the sun is taking big business way too far. My own land, building and access to natural resorces such as water and sun should me mine, DON'T I PAY LAND TAXES TO USE MY OWN NATURAL RESORCES??? Please use your common sence for keeping this country free!!!!

Sincerely, Sue Pfeffer

Kathy Shane-Brinkman 7582 Dresher Rd Kempton, PA 19529-8963

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

All Pennsylvanians should have a choice in which type of eletric production they provides their power needs and solar has many benefits. Do not let the greed of dirty power producers override the clear thinking in this matter, Thank you,

Sincerely, Kathy Shane-Brinkman

Joe Fucci 535 Clever Rd Mc Kees Rocks, PA 15136-1068 (412) 294-1741

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

You should be looking at ways to bring more renewable energy online, not ways to hinder it!

Sincerely, Joe Fucci

Karen Stamm 406 Park Rd Dingmans Ferry, PA 18328-9410

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A long overdue transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Do Not allow the energy companies to lock us into fossil fuel generated power. Move to the future NOW!!

Sincerely, Karen Stamm

Timothy Mcnair 1015 W Arlington Rd Erie, PA 16509-2107 (814) 864-9524

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Imposing new taxes on small solar installations is contrary to the interests of the Commonwealth and its citizens, and is simply a power grab by the utility companies.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Timothy Mcnair

Carol Lawson 137 Welcome Lake Rd Beach Lake, PA 18405-3122 (570) 729-1414

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

NO SEVERANCE TAX AND NOW YOU WANT TO MAKE ENERGY INDEPENDENCE ILLEGAL! HOW DEPRAVED AND TYPICAL OF OUR STATE -- DOES ANYONE IN GOVERNMENT CONSIDER THE INTERESTS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS, IS ANYONE IN GOVERNMENT NOT DEDICATED TO DESTROYING US???????

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Carol Lawson

Robert Freeman 138 N 8th St Grampian, PA 16838-9311 (814) 236-7529

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Don't limit or block solar -- support it!

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

- I. like most Pennsylvanians that I know, strongly believe in the promise of alatr alternative energy -- particularly solar power. Not just as a way to slow down climate change, but also to better protect the beauty of our state and provide an energy option that is clean and presents no health risks to those around it. Net metering is one of the best ways to encourage solar to be used on a bigger and wider scale in our state. Unfortunately, changes to net metering rules that have been proposed recently would make it harder to install solar systems thanks to larger costs and hurdles. These proposals would allow utilities to put up obstacles to Pennsylvania more fully going solar. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:
- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority for utilities to be permitted to charge monthly fees to customers with solar. The AEPS has guaranteed that net metered customers would receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. However, if customers are charged a fee, then this cost is subtracted from any savings from generation of solar power that simply is not "full rate".
- 2. The new definition for "utility" which some have proposed could block the third-party ownership model for solar power (such as vendors that provide solar leasing and agreements involving power purchase). The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so that all ownership models are supported.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of what the customer generates annually. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is not needed and it only adds more regulation costs as well as additional doubts and uncertainty for consumers. Already in the law, annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. Therefore, there's no incentive for "over-sized" systems. So the new system generation limit solves a problem that doesn't exist and it, in fact, would reduce likelihood that solar is implemented. Furthermore, the new size limit would be difficult to apply. This is particularly true for new construction or major rehab projects, where solar truly has a large market potential. The proposal, for no good reason, could burden consumers additional time and expenses. Additionally, if conservation or efficiency improvements lead a customer who generates solar to use less electric, the current proposal wording leaves what would happen unclear. Again, this would just deter even further consumers investing

in solar.

Sincerely, Robert Freeman

Paula Jernigan 109 Hillcrest Rd Pittsburgh, PA 15238-2305 (412) 963-1431

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I agree with the paragraphs below, but also I want you to know I care a lot about this and I have never missed an election.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Paula Jernigan

william steffey 401 Bells Lake Rd Prosperity, PA 15329-2006

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

The PUC should be regulating the utilities. The PUC should not be the handmaiden of the utilities, and maintain substantial distance rather than allow being used for the purposes of the utilities.

Allowing extra fees for those with Solar... is counter productive. The intended fees are to offset the monies "lost" by the utility to solar provided energy. All in First Energy's interest, NOT in the Public interest.

The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.

Sincerely, william steffey

Charles Cross 1854 Glen Mitchell Rd Sewickley, PA 15143-8849

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I am STRONGLY AGAINST.....

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Charles Cross Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Please consider the long term gains for the Commonwealth rather than the financial gains of the utility corporations.

Sincerely, Lori Cooper-Ott

Elisa Beck 106 Trotwood Dr Monroeville, PA 15146-4355

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

As founder of Sustainable Monroeville and an active environmentalist, please encourage solar power in our state!!!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Elisa Beck

Delores Nelson 7 Crosslands Dr Kennett Square, PA 19348-2039 (606) 623-7973

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. It is fortunate for the citizens of Pennsylvania that you people were not in charge of transportation when the country was switching from the horse and buggy to automobiles. With your kind of thinking, we would still be riding in the buggies. Protectionism for one industry at the expense of another, newer one that has advantages over the old, is not going to help this country retain its leadership in the world. Shame on you. Where is your patriotism?

Sincerely, Delores Nelson

ELAINE TOMKO 150 Twinbrook Rd Bear Creek Township, PA 18702-8410

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

WE NEED NEIGHBORHOOD DISTRIBUTION CENTERS FOR ELECTRICITY. USE THE LONG POND SOLAR ENERGY POWERED FACILITY FOR THE RACE TRACK AS AN EXAMPLE OF HOW ELECTRICITY CAN BE GENERATED TO BENEFIT OUR CITIZENS. WE NEED MORE FACILITIES LIKE THAT ONE AND STATE FUNDING SHOULD BE AVAILABLE TO BEGIN USING SOLAR AMD MAKE IT WIDE-SPREAD IN PENNSYLVANIA.

Sincerely, ELAINE TOMKO

Barbara Nadel 120 W Mulberry Dr Milford, PA 18337-7223 (570) 686-4745

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Doesn't your name say it all? PUBLIC Utilities Commission. Aren't you there to protect the PUBLIC? Changing rules to allow utility companies to impede our ability to have solar power on our houses is not supporting the PUBLIC. Solar and wind are the way to go. We need to get off of fossil fuels or we and our planet will not survive. Climate Change anyone? Energy companies using fossil fuels will do anything to keep their market share and profit. We know this does not help our transition to renewables and this is so wrong. Citizens should have the right to power our homes however we choose. Penalizing us to make more money for a fossil fuel energy provider is dishonorable and intolerable. We have rights too. You must protect those rights. Period.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers.

It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Barbara Nadel

Patricia Uhlich 2960 Fensamaus Ct Fogelsville, PA 18051-2153

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. PA has a poor track record of putting the consumer's needs first when it comes to fracking and natural gas (no taxing, the companies who are polluting the water tables). Please don't let the utilities further erode Pennsylvanians' rights. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely, Patricia Uhlich

Alfred Bashore 365 Blee Hill Rd Danville, PA 17821-9038 (570) 437-2515

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. Stop letting the large electricity generating and distribution corporations cloud your usually fair decisions. Citizens have a right. And it seems that right now you are not on the side of Pennsylvania's energy consumers.

Sincerely, Alfred Bashore

Terry Schnur 1181 Greentree Rd Pittsburgh, PA 15220-3032

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Terry Schnur 1181 Greentree Rd Pittsburgh, PA 15220

Sincerely, Terry Schnur

Jennifer Canfield 2400 River Rd Damascus, PA 18415-3502

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Really? And we're supposed to come together to become more energy efficient and less dependent. What message are you sending to people who are struggling and trying to do the right thing. By making it harder you are only confirming what we already know. Corporations have more clout than any of us. Shame on you for defiling the principles this country was founded upon. Wake up, the future won't be ours if we don't all help each other. How does this help us?

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Jennifer Canfield

Eugene Saraceni 209 Sidehammer Ln Greensburg, PA 15601-8867 (724) 837-1533

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

My wife and I have installed solar panels on the roof of our house, and that of our little stable, as well as on an array situated among the apple and pear trees in our orchard. We produce all the electricity we use; any excess is fed back into the grid to be used first, we are told, by our neighbors. We are happythis is so.

We believe that the encouragement of renewable energy growth is the only sane pathway to saving our planet and protecting the lives and safety of its inhabitants from the ravages of increasingly violent and devastating weather patterns. We must make it easier, not more difficult, for ordinary citizens to acquire and utilize solar power.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a

customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Eugene Saraceni

Donald Darrow 1649 Lowell Ln New Cumberland, PA 17070-2250

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Donald Darrow

Melissa Stratton 5119 Wiscasset Dr Swiftwater, PA 18370-7770

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I was appalled to learn about this!!!! totally agree with the statement here. Melissa Stratton, Monroe County

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Melissa Stratton

June Bricker 54 Nickle Dr Mifflintown, PA 17059-7562 (717) 535-5416

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

This is extremely discouraging to me. Where is your concern for the health and welfare of this earth and of human beings?

Sincerely, June Bricker

chris eckenroth 3210 Winding Way Easton, PA 18045-2024 (678) 596-4195

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I have a credential with the US Green Building Council. I know a bit about this.

LEED Green Associate

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, chris eckenroth

Robert Darling 6405 Lincoln Way E Fayetteville, PA 17222-9546 (717) 352-2296

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Our transition to solar power continues. Not only logical, but necessary and critical to protecting the health of all Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Robert Darling

Teri Vanore 1004 W Pine Ct Hazle Township, PA 18202-3279 (570) 391-9553

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

This is so obviously a ploy by Big Energy to make money while continuing to pollute our air and water.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Teri Vanore

Albert Hamm 749 Barrwick Ln Lancaster, PA 17603-2308

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

The PUC should be protecting the state's consumers against abusive regulations, not creating them. The lobbying efforts of the gas and oil corporations serve only one purpose, to keep the consumer in their strangle hold and deny them the opportunity to become more self sufficient and more climate friendly.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Albert Hamm

Karen Rice 247 Gumbletown Rd Paupack, PA 18451-7739 (570) 226-1851

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

PLEASE PLEASE WORK FOR YOUR PEOPLE, not corporations!!! PLEASE listen to the people of PA!!!!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Karen Rice

Shaun Reddick 816 Emerald Dr New Kensington, PA 15068-1780 (412) 352-1011

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I have solar panels on my roof currently and LOVE them. It seems as though these regulations are designed to protect businesses and hurt individuals. As a resident of Pennsylvania, I find it hard to believe that my representatives would be that short sighted, and I certainly would not support anyone that is so short sighted in the future.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Shaun Reddick

Laura Shope 105 Deerwood Dr Mc Veytown, PA 17051-8717 (484) 331-4634

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Please help Pennsylvania utilize this energy source, one that is free, natural, and does not violate our states natural resources or make us beholden to corporate big business interests of oil and natural gas. If the natural gas industry does not have to pay taxes why on earth should the good citizens of our state who wish to utilize solar energy?

Sincerely, Laura Shope

Willard And Gail Sickles 111 Chipmunk Ln Dalton, PA 18414-7785 (570) 378-2507

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

We cannot understand why our PUC would want to discourage the use of unlimited, clean energy. This makes not sense at all.

Sincerely, Willard And Gail Sickles

Johan Sellenraad 1288 River Rd Milanville, PA 18443-3027 (570) 729-0046

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

,A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

I agree with the above and would like to see a rapid expansion of solar roof installations throughout the US. We should lead as a country in this effort.

Sincerely, Johan Sellenraad

Melba Roberson 208 Walnut Grove Rd East Stroudsburg, PA 18301-8602

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

This would be BETTER for the Earth. We must protect the Earth.

Sincerely, Melba Roberson

William Thomas 716 N Maple St Fleetwood, PA 19522-1053 (610) 944-0737

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1.. This proposed action boils down to a "utility protection" proposal that hampers the incentives, motivation and ability for us to attain energy independence. This seems inconsistent with the role of the PUBLIC utility commission
- 2. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 3. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 4. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

I urge you to consider the long term consequences of inhibiting energy options and flexibility vs. the long term benefits of encouraging the availability of multiple energy sources, which will ultimately reduce our dependency on a few energy sources. This will result in a more stable energy environment for future generations.

Sincerely,

William Thomas

Harriet and Donald Rauenzahn 1415 Rose Virginia Rd Reading, PA 19611-1737 (610) 478-6773

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

You people just keep on fiddling while the planet dissolves. Your grandchildren will pay the price. A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Harriet and Donald Rauenzahn

L. Ray Roberts 1122 N Saint Clair St Pittsburgh, PA 15206-1626 (412) 439-3484

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Penalizing the patriots who are helping create a distributed network of solar rooftop energy is insane. These citizens who install rooftop solar are helping make the grid more stable and reliable. In addition, they are helping our country be energy independent and not reliant on foreign energy.

The only way such a Bass-Ackwards policy makes sense is if the politicians who are pushing this idea are on the take. Specifically taking money from ALEC and the Koch brothers whose greed is becoming traitorous. Corrupt politicians makes perfect sense as an explanation for why anyone would even advance such an obviously wrong-headed rules change.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers.

It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, L. Ray Roberts

Stephen Lee 3106 Brereton St Pittsburgh, PA 15219-3708 (412) 880-4020

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I am the proud owner of a 3 KW array on my architectural office, a total, sustainable, gut renovation of a 100 year old building in the Polish Hill neighborhood of Pittsburgh. I invested in this array to walk the talk and with the assistance of a PA Sunshine Grant and a federal tax credit.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of global climate change. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Stephen Lee

Cassandra Tereschak 525 Arthur Ave Scranton, PA 18510-2356

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

It makes me sick that big companies are able to manipulate the system to their advantage. Please help prevent this travisty from happening

Sincerely, Cassandra Tereschak

Celia Jayne 1235 Piney Rd Tionesta, PA 16353-6346 (814) 968-4206

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

The last time I checked Monopolies were still unconstitutional; legislative bodies in this country since John Adams and his brewery have neglected to enforce this aspect of the document that protects and provides for our personal freedoms. Rooftop solar is one of the few ways that people can remove themselves from condoning this treason by becoming a power producer for themselves and their neighbors.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Celia Jayne

Anne Jackson PO Box 516 Morgantown, PA 19543-0516

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners.

PLEASE TAKE THIS VERY VERY SERIOUSLY:

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Anne Jackson

Chris Howard 1000 Windermere Dr Pittsburgh, PA 15218-1142

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Proposed rules changes affecting residential solar systems are a bad idea. They miss that point that household solar electric production is a benefit to utilities, the grid and communities, not a burden. With peak energy production aligned to peak demand, solar PV systems help utilities dodge spot market price increases, benefiting all customers.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Chris Howard

Allen White 7088 Route 549 Millerton, PA 16936-9349 (570) 537-2056

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

This is just another brazen, despatate blatent act by the insatiable, corrupt politico-corporate structire of this country attempting to squeeze the last drops of blood out of our citizens. The "carbon"/"nuke", big money days, absolute control grid are over and you would be wise to get in the right line or be trampled by the solar/ wind giants that are headed this way. They are one of the only bright lights that I see in our present, dismal "Black Hole" energy future.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Allen White

Roger Westman 500 Lloyd St Pittsburgh, PA 15208-2831 (412) 241-0858

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I am opposed to the proposed changes regarding the installation of solar energy by home owners. I have installed panels on our home and and thrilled with the results. I still buy electric power when necessary but contribute far less to global warming and destructive strip mining, especially mountain-top removal. We should encourage more individuals to do the same, not make it harder.

Distributed power generation is the future, and Pennsylvania should be a leader and make our state an example for the nation to follow. We should be looked upon as an example of leadership, not one of clinging to old ways.

- . Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:
- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fee to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generator's electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Please do not adopt these proposed rules.

Sincerely, Roger Westman

Scott Rothwell 276 Geidel Rd Ford City, PA 16226-7018

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. The PUC should be helping the consumers keep pricing down not help the electric companies get even more from their customers. Legislators already let every other state sell their solar credits in PA but those same states won't let us sell our credits in their state. This keeps the price of each solar credit in PA smaller than any other state. This is hindering more people from investing in solar panels and now you want to hinder it even more while Pres. Obama wants to shut down the coal fired plants. How is the consumer to keep their electric bills under control if you will not help?

Sincerely, Scott Rothwell

Jack Barnett 62 Twin Pond Way Hawley, PA 18428-8006

Jul 25, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: PA need to encouraging more solar, not charging fees and creating barriers!

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the future health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate. Do not allow this!
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Jack Barnett

Albert Gutmaker 951 Robin Ln Huntingdon Vy, PA 19006-2015 (215) 938-1997

Jul 26, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

As an American citizen and a Pennsylvanian of 61 years, I am appalled at the proposed erosion of the personal freedom to self-determination with regard to home energy production. While it is an imperative for our nation to establish an independence from hostile foreign interests, it does not benefit Pennsylvanians to kow-tow to special interest groups such as money-grubbing utility companies who seek to dominate and control the energy market.

If America is to succeed in reaching energy independence from foreign interests, solar energy is an important step in the right direction as it provides the means by which individual citizens and businesses can contribute to the energy grids of this nation. America has never benefited from the monopolistic interests of big energy-production companies that seek to financially benefit and control independent production by American citizens. One need only remember the ENRON debacle to understand that principle.

Existing definitions and policies regarding solar power for the home and for businesses in Pennsylvania untether the dependence upon power lines that fail to withstand the torturous weather conditions that our state and nation have been experiencing in recent years. They have provided the means by which homeowners and businesses with solar power-producing panels have contributed to the energy needs of other Americans dependent upon power lines- locally and statewide- while being rewarded for that contribution.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase

agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.

3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

As an American citizen and a Pennsylvanian, I rely upon duly appointed and/or elected officers to work diligently on my behalf to safeguard my rights and interests as a citizen as well as a consumer. If it were possible for every American homeowner and business-owner to install reliable solar-energy panels for energy independence and interdependence, we would all be better off than we are under the existing limited confines of energy-producing companies and their delapidated infrastructure that has failed to keep up with the times.

Sincerely, Albert Gutmaker

Emil Hanulik 955 5th Ave New Kensington, PA 15068-6307 (724) 335-6017

Jul 26, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. Net metering is necessary for locations that are not condusive to solar installation due to roof design, height of adjacent buildings, trees and terrain.

Sincerely; Emil Hanulik, Jr. 955 Fifth Avenue New Kensington, PA 15068 Sincerely, Emil Hanulik

Lydia and Randy Stettler 4403 River Rd Mount Bethel, PA 18343-6038 (570) 897-6907

Jul 26, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.

 ALLOWING ENERGY CORPORATIONS TO NEGATE THE POSITIVE, CLEAN EFFECTS OF SOLAR BECAUSE OF THEIR INSATIBLE GREED FOR ALL THE PROFIT, WITH NO REGARD FOR CLEAN AIR AND WATER IS DEAD WRONG. SAY NO TO ANY UTILITY BARRIERS THAT WOULD HARM OR DETER CLEAN SOLAR ENERGY!!!!
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Lydia and Randy Stettler

Olyvia Jo Deisher 444 Willow Rd Hellertown, PA 18055-1925 (610) 217-2289

Jul 26, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Please do not create barriers to rooftop solar energy! In addition to the details below, do not put our country and its citizens behind the rest of the world!

As I traveled through Germany. the solar energy left a strong impression of a country doing what's needed and right.

Solar energy should be ae challenge for our youth if we expect them to lead the world

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely,

Olyvia Jo Deisher

Olyvia Jo Deisher 444 Willow Rd Hellertown, PA 18055-1925 (610) 217-2289

Jul 26, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Please do not create barriers to rooftop solar energy! In addition to the details below, do not put our country and its citizens behind the rest of the world!

As I traveled through Germany. the solar energy left a strong impression of a country doing what's needed and right. Solar energy should be one of many creative challenges for our youth if we expect them to lead the world.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely,

Olyvia Jo Deisher

Joan King 916 Franklin Ave Pittsburgh, PA 15221-2936

Jul 26, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: PA would gane financially by becoming a solar friendly state.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I agree with the following statement but to me the main point is to make this a state the rich people want to live in because it is clean and encourages clean living. The coal and steel history is romantic and heroic but it is history - the future is solar and hydro - especially as the big energy companies are given cart-blanch to destroy our water and soil. At least let us have air.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Joan King

	(A)		

Dan Schreffler 207 N Apple St Dunmore, PA 18512-2446 (570) 343-4056

Jul 26, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

As a owner of a residential solar system, I have concerns about these proposed rules. The Commonwealth should be encouraging solar power, not looking for ways to limit it.

Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Dan Schreffler

TERRY MATEER 209 Lafayette St New Bethlehem, PA 16242-1017 (814) 275-1718

Jul 26, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. EPA is making it expensive for carbon based electric generation and even though solar is not economical yet as a source, you are adding disinsentives to solar. Where is the electricity suppose to come from?

Sincerely, TERRY MATEER

Sheryl Schultz 401 Eden Rd Apt H3 Lancaster, PA 17601-4282 (717) 123-4567

Jul 26, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Stop messing with the consumer options for solar power.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

This is an attempt to cut competition, pure and simple.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Sheryl Schultz

Andrew Yoder PO Box 109 Blue Ridge Summit, PA 17214-0109 (717) 749-3631

Jul 26, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should not be lining the pockets of the utilities

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is crucial to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Andrew Yoder

Henry Berkowitz 141 Sperry and Young Rd Sabinsville, PA 16943-9797 (814) 367-5826

Jul 26, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

I have to wonder why Pa. bends over backwards to assist the fossil fuel industry be more than profitable, but then considers making it more difficult to reduce our need for destructive energy sources by discouraging stainable clean energy investments.

Sincerely, Henry Berkowitz

S Bartolone 34 Drumm Rd Danville, PA 17821-9104

Jul 27, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. How does this proposed regulation help the environmentbe making it harder to use alternative energy? And yet another ding to the people's wallets.

Sincerely, S Bartolone

Cathie Forman 1010 Woods Rd Southampton, PA 18966-4543

Jul 27, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fee to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Cathie Forman

GERALD EBY 52 White Oak Dr Carlisle, PA 17015-9169 (717) 226-3498

Jul 27, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION SHOULD BE ENCORAGE SOLAR ENERGY PRODUCTION NOT ALLOWING INCREASED FEES AND OTHER BARRIERS.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

I HAVE HAD A SOLAR ELECTRIC ENERGY SYSTEM AT MY HOME FOR NEARLY 5 YEARS AND AM VERY SATISFIED WITH IT. IT PRODUCES MOST ITS ENERGY, AS WITH ALL SOLAR SYSTEMS, WHEN THE DEMAND FOR ELECTRICITY IS THE HIGHEST AND THEREFORE WHEN THE POWER COMPANIES HAVE TO RELY ON STANDBY GENERATORS. THESE ARE THE MORE EXPENSIVE, MORE HARMFULL TO THE ENVIRONMENT AND LEAST EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT TO OPERATE. I PRODUCE A LITTLE LESS POWER OVER THE YEAR THAN WE USE AT OUR HOME SO WE BUY ELECTRICITY IN THE COLDEST MONTHS WHEN THE SUN IS LEAST POWERFUL AND

THE POWER COMPANIES HAVE EXCESS GENERATING CAPACITY. I WOULD THINK THIS IS THE SAME FOR ALL SOLAR SYSTEMS.

BECAUSE OF ADVANCING AGE, I AM LESS ABLE TO CUT FIRE WOOD TO HEAT OUR HOME, WE ARE INSTALLING A VERY EFFICENT HEAT PUMP SYSTEM FOR AIR CONDITIONING AND HEATING IN THE MILDER MONTHS SO WE EXPECT TO INCRIMENTLY INCREASE THE CAPACITY OF OUR SOLAR SYSTEM UP 15 TO 20% TO SUPPLY THE ADDITION ELECTRICTY WE ARE CALCULATED TO USE.

THANK FOR YOUR CARFUL ATTENTION TO THIS IMPORTANT MATTER. I BELIEVE SOLAR ENERGY IS VERY IMPORTANT TO THE FURTURE OUR STATE AND NATION, TO IMPROVE OUR ECOMONY AND LESSEN OUR POLUTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT

SINCERELY,

GERALD & MARTHA EBY 52 WHITE OAK DRIVE CARLISLE, PA. 17015

Sincerely, GERALD EBY

Joel Christine 632 W 15th St Tyrone, PA 16686-2007

Jul 27, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Transitioning our society from a mostly fossil fuel economy to one run mainly on renewable sources such as solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Some of my concerns with this proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generator's electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Joel Christine

Joni Hauck 2994 Hearthside Ln Lancaster, PA 17601-1457 (717) 898-6386

Jul 27, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should ENCOURAGE solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners.

Why would you put up roadblocks to PA residents using Solar Power? Solar should be affordable.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Joni Hauck

James Bean 258 Susquehanna Ave PO Box 726 Lock Haven, PA 17745-1027 (814) 360-4491

Jul 27, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition.

Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

James Bean PO Box 726 Lock Haven, PA 17745

Sincerely, James Bean

Robert Kidder 1755 Meadow Rd Southampton, PA 18966-4559 (215) 355-3329

Jul 27, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

For example, I have an operating solar system generating exactly the level of electricity that we were charged for by PECO the year before it was installed. Since then, we have installed LED lights in all our fixtures, and a new highly efficient heating system for both water and home heating. Those steps should be encouraged by the state of Pennsylvania, as they are in many other states. They have greatly reduced our "carbon footprint", but they are also likely to reduce our electric usage.

Also, my wife and I are aging and that too is likely to reduce our energy usage over the next ten years. I do woodworking using several high demand power tools, but it is not likely to be an activity I'll be able to continue much longer. Why should I be faced with an increased electric bill just because I'm getting too old for that work?

Sincerely, Robert Kidder

Jon Cooper Blackrock rd Quarryville, PA 17566

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

Lets be clear- the power companies were happy to have the solar capacity esp in peak demand summer hours. It allowed the power companies to NOT HAVE TO BUILD additional power plants. It was to the power companies advantage and all was well...now they have their lobbyists working to give them \$ for WHAT? Because they have influence in Harrisburg and can get away with it? I have yet to hear ONE SOUND REASON why the power companies should in any way be compensated for customers helping the power companies NOT make capital expenditures. I would like to think the legislature would represent the people, but we shall see.

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to

conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Jon Cooper

Sandra Wright 262 Pittsburgh Grade Rd Hookstown, PA 15050-1326 (724) 573-1111

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

As an owner of a rooftop solar installation, Greene Township, Beaver County generates clean electricity that not only reduces pollution, it saves the taxpayers of our township a significant amount of money annually.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Sandra Wright

Taylor & Damp; Elizabeth Lamborn 876 Freemansville Rd Shillington, PA 19607-9404 (610) 775-0185

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power as a major source of energy is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption.

Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. The proposed changes to net metering rules is a move in the wrong direction.

Specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Taylor & Dizabeth Lamborn

Leah Bug 355 Armagast Rd Bellefonte, PA 16823-9761

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar and renewable energy!

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

We need to consider ways to make renewable energy sources feasible and accessible to PA citizens and this is not one of them!

Sincerely, Leah Bug 355 Armagast Rd. Bellefonte, PA 16823

Sincerely,

Leah Bug

Cynthia Sorg 141 Monks Rd Saxonburg, PA 16056-2419

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

My solar panels do not disrupt anyone's view, sleep or their environment as otherwise is done by the Marcellus shale disaster currently going on. Beautiful farmlands are destroyed and not only does it impact people, wildlife is forced to move on as well. It is a much safer power source than nuclear will ever be and is a clean energy. Stop messing with me and my solar friends as we are trying to help the environment and you want to penalize us for it!

Sincerely,

Cynthia Sorg

Patti Fenstermacher 6052 Krause Rd Schnecksville, PA 18078-3211 (610) 767-4774

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

We need to continue to move forward not backwards with safe alternative energy making it affordable for future sustainability.

Sincerely, Patti Fenstermacher

Ruth Roberts 104 Clearview Ct Irwin, PA 15642-8848

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, maintaining the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Ruth Roberts

Andrea Young 552 Tescier Rd Muncy, PA 17756-7448

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Solar power is critical to add protection to the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Andrea Young

Daniel Gracenin 1865 Lori Ln Hermitage, PA 16148-6075

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Solar power should be used to it's greatest extent in the Commonwealth. The rules to make things more difficult when we should making them easier makes no sense to the average resident or business for that matter. I have been consider solar for a while and this will be a big factor in me not installing solar, which is what I'm sure the large utilities would prefer.

This would be the second time since we have built that the Commonwealth changed regulations that have/will affect my home. We original built all electric and to State standards for the discount, and then the Commonwealth changed the rules after we put the money into the home to meet the standards. We are happy that we made the home energy efficient, but once again our choice to use solar in the future will be impacted by the PUC changing rules once again against the home owners.

Dan Gracenin, 1865 Lori Lane Hermitage, PA 16148

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is

unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Daniel Gracenin

Madeline Rawley 361 Birdsong Way Doylestown, PA 18901-4893

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

All the evidence shows that we need to transition from the use of fossil fuels as soon as possible in order to slow down global warming. The news changes that are proposed would not increase the incentives to use solar energy

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Madeline Rawley

Simon Knight 2610 Brown St Philadelphia, PA 19130-1802

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I live in a row house Philadelphia with solar panels. With an abundance of unused roof tops the city is a perfect place to generate solar energy. It is completely wrong that utilities should now be allowed to charge a new fee to customers who add energy to the grid. As a country we continually use more energy, whether it comes from coal, gas, nuclear, hydro, or renewable sources. It makes no sense to add fees solar, when this source of energy has one of the least impacts on our environment.

Prepared message below

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to

conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Simon Knight

Larry And Sheila Harris 316 Jemison Rd Westfield, PA 16950-9564

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. This is a plan that A.L.E.C contrived on behalf of one of its founders the Koch Brothers. ALEC is the legislative arm of the Tea Party Right Wing and the policies created are geared to financially benefit those powerful members of the 1% right wing; a wealthy and powerful small portion of society. In this case the coal burning electricity plants that fires Koch Coal will benefit. This anti solar legislation makes it more difficult to get off the grid by punishing those that reduce or eliminate their energy footprint when they should be rewarded. The Kochs have been concerned legislation that bolsters clean power would erode their power base. This legislation was trotted

out and made law in Oklahoma earlier this year and is being foisted on states through their Republican Legislation.

Clean Energy through solar scares the utility companies ,too, because if it becomes the predominant method to power our homes ,businesses etc. it cuts out the middle man . Yet that would be a small sacrifice to allow the

dawning of a cleaner America and world. This legislation sucks and is a contrivance by a group of oligarchs to maintain their pot of gold and screw the rest of the nation and world. Please do the right thing and vote it down.

Sincerely, Larry And Sheila Harris

Michelee Sands 1046 Cochecton Tpke Tyler Hill, PA 18469-4010

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

My family installed 7kWs of Solar PV panels in 2010, largely because of the PA Sunshine program. We saw this as a transition to solar power and critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Michelee Sands

Linda Blythe 4433 Osage Ave Philadelphia, PA 19104-3917

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

As the owner of a sunny flat roof, I am interested in installing solar, but it would make no sense for me to do so without income from the surplus energy generated, especially since we often take long summer vacations. Solar is the future of electricity, and utilities are just trying to delay the inevitable truth that they are dinosaurs. Let's move into the 21st century. Please do what is best for the customer, not what is best for the big public utility.

Sincerely,

Linda Blythe

William Ewing 510 E Mount Pleasant Ave Philadelphia, PA 19119-1232

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Net metering is an important tool to promote the transition from fossil fuels to renewable energy, which is essential to slow climate change, promote the health of Pennsylvanians, and protect the natural beauty of the Commonwealth. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to the use of solar power. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, William Ewing

Keith Henn 140 Southridge Dr Cranberry Twp, PA 16066-2402

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: PA should be encouraging bioenergy and other renewables, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to bioenergy and other renewables power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as bioenergy and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Keith Henn

H.K. Peters, Jr., PT 430 Bayberry Ln West Grove, PA 19390-9491

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I have solar on my roof and generate 54% of my electricity. Perhaps, just perhaps, utilities would like You to protect their interests for them.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, H.K. Peters, Jr., PT

Mike Lincicome 5065 Westranch Hwy Lewisburg, PA 17837-0654 (570) 524-2921

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I have been involved in the solar business for approx. 5 yrs and have seen the best and worst years. Between 2008 and 2011 PA citizens and businesses installed over 100 megawatts of solar, surpassing most states for installation of environmentally friendly energy sources. totally disagree with the PUC's new regulations on solar. A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. The PUC's proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more difficult and more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Moving forward we will need to rely on a several different forms of energy including natural gas, solar, oil, biofuels, solar thermal technology, and nuclear to name a few. Why should we put limits on the our abilities to create power??? The future of natural gas and oil should have no fear of solar "dethroning" them so I'm not sure why PA insists on making these completely unnecessary changes to a dying form of energy... Solar sales have plummeted since fall of 2011 and continue to fall. My livelihood has completely changed since the states allocated incentives dried up to non-existence. Now the PUC wants changes that will make a virtually dead industry, extinct? Shame on you. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be

difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Mike Lincicome

James Barth 137 Welcome Lake Rd Beach Lake, PA 18405-3122

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Solar Energy installation needs to be encouraged, not penalized...

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

The fossil fuel extraction and delivery related companies want to discourage the use of alternative energy, in this case, individual home installation solar panels. A transition to solar power is a critical route to take for our future in Pennsylvania, and our Country. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. The proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, James Barth

Chelsea Graham 3001 Marshall Rd Pittsburgh, PA 15214-2641

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

YOU CANNOT PRIVATIZE THE SUN'S ENERGY. IF YOU TRY, AMERICA WILL KILL YOU BECAUSE YOU TRIED TO KILL US FIRST.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Chelsea Graham

Edward And Nancy Hendela 260 High Country Dr Blakeslee, PA 18610-7812 (570) 646-4088

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Nancy and I have specific concerns with the proposal, as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Edward And Nancy Hendela

David Skellie 4211 Colonial Ave Erie, PA 16506-3834

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Solar, along with wind and biofuels, is the only way we can truly fight global warming. We must make this change for our children and grandchildren. Please support solar energy. I have solar panels on my roof and they are working wonderfully.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, David Skellie

David Skellie 4211 Colonial Ave Erie, PA 16506-3834

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Solar, along with wind and biofuels, is the only way we can truly fight global warming. We must make this change for our children and grandchildren. Please support solar energy. I have solar panels on my roof and they are working wonderfully.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, David Skellie

Jane Bollinger PO Box 122 Prompton, PA 18456-0122 (570) 253-5711

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Jane Bollinger PO Box 122 Prompton, PA 18456

Sincerely, Jane Bollinger

B. Arrindell 109 Maccubbins Rd Beach Lake, PA 18405-4041

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I urge that you withdraw changes to §75.13(k) giving the Commission authority to approve utility company requests to charge net metered customers special fees.

I urge that you withdraw changes to §75.13(a)(3) adding a new 110% generation limit on system size.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, B. Arrindell

Alice Zinnes 1255 Milanville Rd Milanville, PA 18443-3047

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Pennsylvania gets at least as much sun as does Germany. If Germany is able to provide an equivalent solar energy equivalent to 22 nuclear power plants (which Germany already can do on a sunny day), then Pennsylvania should be able to too. Pennsylvanians deserve to be able to use their own roofs to generate their own electricity. Doing so does not hurt anyone else, and at the same time allows us to use our property for our financial stability.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely,

Alice Zinnes

judy miziumski 944 River Rd Holtwood, PA 17532-9626 (717) 284-0429

Jul 28, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

It makes no sense, the government allows fracking, which is bad for our environment, our air quality, and our water supply. our government allows fracking to dump contaminated water in our water ways, which goes into the bay and onto the ocean. now this insult to injury, by putting road blocks for the American citizens to install solar. Sometimes I believe the government is trying to destroy our one and only earth. what ever happened to for the people, the sun is for everyone's, and we are smart enough to collect the benefits. I suppose this idea will line someone's pocket, but not the American people. Is there anyone out there to defend the American people?

Sincerely, judy miziumski

Julia Wittich 1749 Cochecton Tpke Damascus, PA 18415-3001

Jul 29, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Please pay attention. This is very important to me, my family, and friends.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Thank you for listening.

Sincerely, Julia Wittich Jul 29, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

We desperately need to draw energy from the renewable resources of sun and wind! Please don't stand in the way, but instead, be promoters of renewable energy.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Kathleen Sjogren

Mary Jo Weinheimer 277 Lebanon Ave Pittsburgh, PA 15228-1303 (412) 344-8935

Jul 29, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter. It is SO important for America to have solid, diversified energy sources for our safety.

Sincerely, Mary Jo Weinheimer

Norman Starr 23 River Song Dr Beach Lake, PA 18405-7724

Jul 29, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Pennsylvania should be doing everything it can to promote renewable energy, not putting up additional barriers.

Sincerely, Norman Starr

Robert Tiffany PO Box 575 27 Cafferty Road Point Pleasant, PA 18950-0575 (215) 297-0550

Jul 29, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Restrictions benefitting Utilities at the expense of expanding renewable energy sources by individuals is simply unacceptable. Robert Tiffany 27 Cafferty Road Point Pleasant, PA 18950-0575

Sincerely, Robert Tiffany

andrew johnson 549 Macleod Dr Gibsonia, PA 15044-8962

Jul 29, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

There is no legitimate, practical reason to charge individuals with PV systems a fee to generate electricity for their own use ... any more than they should get a surcharge from the water company for having a well. This is just a transparent political tactic that is promoted by the fossil fuel industry to prevent development of distributed clean energy production in the name of corporate profit. In addition, the limitations and rules are extremely vague, and consumers do not have ANY options to switch their energy supplier. Thus, the suppliers effectively make the rules, and there is no incentive at all for suppliers to provide a competitive product (e.g. \$0 PV or net metering fees). That is what is known as a monopoly, and it is clearly disadvantageous to the welfare of the general public. Expect a legal battle...

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab

projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, andrew johnson

manuel hakimian 302 E Logan St Norristown, PA 19401-5423 (267) 886-6888

Jul 29, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Power companies can still make money benefiting from all sorts of renewable energy. Limiting our energy independence is destruction of our future.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, manuel hakimian

peter mayes 418 Anthwyn Rd Narberth, PA 19072-2302 (610) 660-8299

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

In case you hadn't noticed or heard the news, the world is heating up fast. It is the responsibility of every citizen to do what they can to reduce their carbon footprint by reducing their energy consumption and to insulate their homes. The use of fossil fuels is contributing to this problem and alternative renewable enery sources are the only way forward. In the face of irreversible global heating to tax people who have renewable energy generators such as rooftop solar is an utter insult, a selfish, "stick your head in the sand" response that screams of ignorance and greed. DO NOT TAX RESIDENTIAL SOLAR INSTALLATIONS!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to

conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, peter mayes

Jill Graham Hearthside Lane Lancaster, PA 17601

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

As a state and a nation, we should be doing everything in our power to move toward a future of cleaner, more sustainable, healthier power sources!!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Please don't take any action that would discourage Pennsylvanians from taking their part in reversing environmental damage already created by fossil fuels!

Sincerely,

Jill Graham

Scott Spencer 558 Hermitage St Philadelphia, PA 19128-2602 (215) 833-5203

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Our government is much too strong swayed by corporations in general and by the fossil fuel companies in particular. Can we not see that this issue is much more important than money? We need leadership to recognize and support the cause of clean energy at every level.

Sincerely, Scott Spencer

Henry and Elaine Frank 2763 Island Ave Philadelphia, PA 19153-2225

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Encourage Solar

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I OPPOSE the proposed changes to net metering rules. They would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar.

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption.

Thank you.

Sincerely, Henry and Elaine Frank

John Comella 1900 John F Kennedy Blvd Apt 1624 Philadelphia, PA 19103-1432 (267) 687-2288

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

We should be supporting solar energy in every way possible. The more solar energy that we use, the less polluting carbon pollution we will produce. So there should be NO tax on solar energy, either for the producer or the user. It is CRITICAL to reduce our carbon footprint as much and as fast as possible. Pennsylvania is one of the most carbon-polluted states in the country. So more clean, renewable energy is more important here than anywhere else in the country.

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely,

John Comella

Susan Garelik 44yale ave Swarthmore, PA 19081

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. THIS IS BENEFICIAL TO UTILITIES' SHORT TERM PROFITS AND DISASTROUS FOR OUR FUTURE!

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Susan Garelik

Kevin Kuehlwein 1531 S 13th St Phila, PA 19147-6204

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I have some friends who have solar power and I strongly believe that a transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition.

Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. This would be a REALLY bad idea. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Kevin Kuehlwein

Alex Bomstein 1438 S 9th St Philadelphia, PA 19147-5733

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal include the following:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. This is a blatant protectionist rule designed to protect large utilities at the expense of consumers. Just as public policy supports homeownership, it should support personal ownership of electrical production.

Sincerely, Alex Bomstein

Richard Eynon 175 S Spring Mill Rd Villanova, PA 19085-1408

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

We should be encouraging not discouraging solar generation. Recent extreme weather is proof that climate change is having a negative effect.

Sincerely, Richard Eynon

Briget Shields 2329 Tilbury Ave Pittsburgh, PA 15217-2455

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Briget Shields Pittsburgh, PA 15217

Sincerely, Briget Shields

mike lutz 15 S Highland Ave Lansdowne, PA 19050-2203 (610) 626-5257

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Large utilities have only one goal-to make a profit. The only way we are going to make an impact on global warming and energy independence is with thousands of individual contributions. they should not be penalized for their efforts! If their systems produce a surplus they should be compensated for their investments to help pay for their foresight in trying to stave off the inevitable global demise due to the consumption of fossil fuels. A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption.

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, mike lutz

John Flynn 88 Dillon Way Washington Crossing, PA 18977-1362

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania MUST encourage solar, not charge fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

You people are OUT OF CONTROL - bought and paid for trolls of PECO and other big energy powers....YOU should be ashamed to be that easily bought-off.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, John Flynn

Paul Stavros 108 Biddle Dr Exton, PA 19341-1739 (610) 363-7896

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I agree whole-heartedly with the Sierra Clubs opposition to the barriers you are trying to establish to limit solar power use. Please do not bend to the big money influences of utility companies. Let's make rules that generate all Pennsylvanians, and not just the I Percenters.

Sincerely, Paul Stavros

Mindy Maslin 6049 Daniel St Phila, PA 19144-3703

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Solar endergy is the answer to our endergy needs.

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Mindy Maslin

Jim Tindell PO Box 31 1184 River Road Milanville, PA 18443-0031 (570) 729-8532

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I have read and agree with the letter below. I would like to add that we recently built a new home and installed geothermal and have been extremely satisfied with the results. We are planning to add solar in the very near future, but are very concerned to learn that PA may be changing the fee structure for the major electric utility companies. This is insane in that we are all very much aware that climate change is something we now face daily. We see it in our gardens and the various storms of a decade that now occur on a regularly basis. Rather than punish those of us who are trying to create a lessor carbon footprint on the planet, you should be supporting anyone who moves in this direction.

It is sad to see that PP&L may be given more money for poor service. We are at the end of the line for PP&L which means we have constant brownouts which burn out sensitive electronic equipment and go days at a time with no power and we are never compensated by PP&L for our loss of energy not to mention the inconvenience of having no power.

So please do NOt allow a net charge back. This makes no sense.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.

3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely,

Jim Tindell

1184 River Road Milanville, PA 18443

Sincerely, Jim Tindell

Marc Benton 760 S Russell St York, PA 17402-3532

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

WHY, at a time when we claim to be concerned about climate change and cutting our dependence on foreign oil, would discouraging solar users and potential users be a good idea?

Sincerely, Marc Benton

David Kagan 885 Torbert Ln Jersey Shore, PA 17740-8732

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I would hate to think that this new proposal is the result of lobbying pressure from the fossil fuel industry! Is it? If so, that would be abominable and shameful.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, David Kagan

David Morgan 29 School St Ambler, PA 19002-4408

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Please help solar be free from fees and excessive burdens. Our environment will benefit.

Sincerely, David Morgan

Louise Quigley 41 Bower Rd Braintree, MA 02184-1513

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

The United States in particular and the world in general needs clean power to replace fossil fuels soonest!

It is in this context that a transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Louise Quigley

David Butler 639 Hermitage St Philadelphia, PA 19128-2605

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Save the humans.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, David Butler

James Peterson 8 2nd St Unit B Sausalito, CA 94965-2542

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: It's reasons like this that innovation leaves PA for progressive places

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Prevent regression! Your proposed rules seem like a bonehead move. Do what is right, period. Not what is right for greedy utilities and lobbyists.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, James Peterson

Joanne Corey 209 Lewis St Vestal, NY 13850-1424

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Pa needs to move forward with solar as quickly as possible in order to stop unconventional fossil fuel drilling, which is causing pollution for PA and also for my town in NY, on the PA border.

Sincerely, Joanne Corey

William Henry 117 Harshaville Rd Clinton, PA 15026-1415 (724) 899-3271

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use. Help SOLAR don't hurt it.

Sincerely, William Henry

Patrick Ryan 207 Haldeman St Media, PA 19063-3502 (555) 444-3333

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: !!!! ???? !!!! - Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

What the HELL do you think you're doing? Are you people sick? Greedy? Do you not get it, you are ruining the health of our home, all of ours!!

We're watching you very closely. This is unacceptable!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Patrick Ryan

Clare Maher 301b Shawmont Ave Philadelphia, PA 19128-4232

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

ENCOURAGE SOLAR POWER! A transition to solar power is CRITICAL TO protecting the HEALTH of Pennsylvanians, the natural BEAUTY of the Commonwealth, and AVOIDING THE WORST impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it MORE EXPENSIVE to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to CREATE ADDITIONAL BARRIERS to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Clare Maher

Steve & Depth 2007 Steve & Ste

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

 Let's make renewable energy grow to save the planet!

Sincerely, Steve & Disworth

Liz Gaspar 161 E Heron Cv Shelton, WA 98584-7027

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I worked in PA for years before my retirement, so I still have a strong interest in what happens there. If there is to be a surcharge, it should be on the oil and gas portion of the electric bill. That's the responsible thing to do:

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Liz Gaspar

Marilyn Martin 6020 Loganwood Dr Rockville, MD 20852-3458

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should encourage solar, not charge fees and create barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical for protecting Pennsylvanians' health, the Commonwealth's natural beauty, and avoiding climate disruption's worst impacts. Net metering is one of the most important policies for bringing about this transition. However, the proposed net metering rules revisions would raise the costs for installing solar systems and allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. My concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should not have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fee to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary, creates uncertainty, and increases regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand decreases over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Marilyn Martin

mike sacks 1405 kutztown rd fleetwood, PA 19522

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Sound more like the PUC is working for the big electric companies rather than the tax payers of Pennsylvania. A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, mike sacks

Georgianna Grentzenberg 80 W Baltimore Ave Lansdowne, PA 19050-2144

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition.

Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Georgianna Grentzenberg

Eric Langenmayr 920 Academy Ln Bryn Mawr, PA 19010-2220 (610) 527-8195

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

This is NOT an area in which more regulation is needed. MORE solar panels are needed, not more regulation.

Sincerely, Eric Langenmayr

Paul Roden 307 Daleview Dr Yardley, PA 19067-3406 (215) 428-0234

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. By allowing these changes to the utility law, this would increase the profits, power, and carbon emission impact of centralized, for profit electric utilities and dirty energy companies. It is anti-competitive and monopolistic of the electric utility, fossil fuel and nuclear industries to impose these fees on consumers who want to generate their own electricity.
- 5. By enacting these changes, the electric grid and electrical generating system will continue to become more centralized, less

resilient to outages, sabotage, and less interdependent in providing electricity at the expense of the customers.

Sincerely, Paul Roden

Anne Smith 421 N Spring Garden St Ambler, PA 19002-3437

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

As a PA resident who would prefer to use renewable resources such as solar, any plan that will make it harder or more expensive is a bad plan for PA. We have so much invested in fossil fuels and the tax breaks they are getting, Please, have a care for our environmental future.

Sincerely, Anne Smith

Thanks.

Anne Julie White 508 Lindley Rd Glenside, PA 19038-2802 (215) 886-7273

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Why not use what is so plentiful, without a downside, instead of endangering our water and permitting drilling in state parks?! A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Anne Julie White

Barbara White 221 Ulysses St Pittsburgh, PA 15211-1545

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Don't limit our ability to convert to green energy sources! Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Barbara White

Jon Bogle 201 E 3rd St Williamsport, PA 17701-6624

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

If the PUC adopts these rules it will be taking the side of the fossil fuel industry against consumers and the environment. Other than capitulating to industry pressure there is no reason for our state commission to take this route.

Sincerely, Jon Bogle

Anna McCartney 11078 Freeport Ln North East, PA 16428-3006 (814) 725-8906

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Don't stand in the way of making solar energy available to me, my family and other Pennsylvanians!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Anna McCartney

Edward And Adrienne Hickey 34 Longenecker Rd Lititz, PA 17543-7960 (717) 626-7785

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. My house, my decision, my money invested No utility or regulatory body has the right to deny my freedom to generate my own energy safely and cleanly, or to penalize my efforts to do so.

Sincerely, Edward And Adrienne Hickey

Joel Bolden 45 Morris Rd Port Matilda, PA 16870-7918

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

This pretty much says it. Get used to the fact that the whole concept of power generation is rapidly changing.

Sincerely, Joel Bolden

Pasquale Argenio 1015 Stanford Dr Wynnewood, PA 19096-2031 (610) 645-9487

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

This message is sent on behalf of myself, my wife and our 3 children.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Pasquale Argenio

John S. Sonin 329 5th St Apt 1 Juneau, AK 99801-1198

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

We must STOP the fracking and shale-squeezing abuse of this Eden we've been entrusted to sustain!

Sincerely, John S. Sonin

Rob Mortimer 2680 Winding Trail Dr Boulder, CO 80304-1454

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Every state should be doing all that it can to encourage solar power--not to discourage it.

Sincerely, Rob Mortimer

James Brydon 1355 Bethlehem Rd Oakland, MD 21550-6418

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fee to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, James Brydon

Donald and Harriet Rauenzahn 1415 Rose Virginia Rd Reading, PA 19611-1737 (610) 478-7663

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

The proposal is exactly what we -- and our children and grandchildren -- do not need. One more reason to get Republicans out of office as soon as feasible. A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Donald and Harriet Rauenzahn

Donald Grbac 185 Overbrook Rd Valencia, PA 16059-1101

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

It is time to stop protecting the fossil fuel and nuclear industries. We must move to renewables.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Donald Grbac

Catherine Neil PO Box 336 Revere, PA 18953-0336 (610) 847-2064

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania needs solar installations!

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I purchased my solar system for several reasons:

- 1. Whatever anyone tells me, I see the effects of global warming every day.
- 2. The state of PA was willing to help me install solar. I assumed that this was because the state also saw the benefit of alternative energy to our future.
- 3. I was able to make the investment (that I know that many others cannot) and know that my investment helps the general welfare. My electric production probably goes to my neighbors. I am cutting down (as little as I can as one person) on the coal production to produce electricity and try to improve the air quality. PA is TERRIBLE on the air quality!

Now you are considering (what I would call) penalizing me for my actions. The utilities will never go out of business because of some few of us in the state that install solar systems. I am sure that the numbers support this notion right now. The utilities need to address the future. And to address that future they are determined to remain in the past and the present. The present will not last. They can fine us all, put levies on our installations, whatever. If the utilities had any foresight or inspiration, they would be advancing alternative energies in combination with traditional energy. This is what it will take in the future, all energy choices in combination together. I can't help but feel that this is another desperate grab at money and an attempt to stifle an industry.

Sincerely, Catherine Neil

Janice Nathan 633 Hastings St Pittsburgh, PA 15206-4531 (412) 363-8388

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Please help safeguard our community for our children and for future generations thereafter.

Sincerely, Janice Nathan

Iris Smith 3511 Cloverfield Dr Cedar Springs, MI 49319-8204 (616) 696-5469

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

I'll bet the fossil fuel industry is behind the idea to tax solar in PA.

Sincerely, Iris Smith

William Heiland 4511 Old Lincoln Hwy Oakford, PA 19053-8421

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

We have to realize the power companies are public utilities. They are here to serve the public under license to operate. The customer should not be penalized for generating power and selling the excess back to the power company. If we truly want to become more energy independent, we must support individual generated power. This benefits everyone!

Sincerely, William Heiland

E C YARTER 7725 N Blanco Wash Trl Marana, AZ 85653-9497

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Distributed generation will save infrastructure costs, and solar power will save emissions. Solar installation will create jobs. Let's not start going backwards!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, E C YARTER

Robin Eisman 930 Henrietta Ave Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006-8523

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Please facilitate solar energy

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to our future and our children's futures. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar.

As a voting citizen, I support the Sierra Club, MAREA, the Clean Air Council, and other environmental groups in asking you to please facilitate and encourage the installation and use of solar energy.

Thank you.

Sincerely, Robin Eisman

Kelly Brignell 1747 SW Sunset Blvd Portland, OR 97239-2629 (503) 293-1335

Jul 30, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

US OFF FOSSIL FUELS!!!!!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Kelly Brignell

Jay Tarler 411 W Stafford St Philadelphia, PA 19144-4407

Jul 31, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fee to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Jay Tarler

Julie Greenberg 6445 Greene St Apt B202 Phila, PA 19119-3258

Jul 31, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use. Sincerely, Rabbi Julie Greenberg

Sincerely, Julie Greenberg

Elaine Kurpiel 1413 Walnut St Coatesville, PA 19320-2540

Jul 31, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

As you well know, our planet is in a severe crisis right this moment. Climate change. It is real, happening this moment, and getting worse. There are changes we can implement immediately that will help to mitigate only some of the serious consequences we are now seeing. One of the changes that will help is conversion to solar and wind power. The PUC should be assisting in this conversion and not use it as an opportunity to make money nor should the commission sabotage this in any way. These are my personal beliefs and words and I agree completely with all the statements continued in this letter. Please act immediately. We are talking about our home...Earth.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to

conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Elaine Kurpiel

Stuart Charme 763 S 10th St Philadelphia, PA 19147-2741

Jul 31, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

As one of the only homes in my neighborhood in downtown Philadelphia to have rooftop solar panels, I recommend that obstacles should NOT be placed in the way of other homeowners installing solar systems on their property. As it is, the collapse of SREC prices already makes the financing of home solar systems much more costly. Adding new fees for net metering adds more financial burdens for people like me. In addition, I am limited to using PECO as my supplier, since none of the suppliers in this market support net-metering. Making net-metering even less attractive for consumers is contrary to public policy of encouraging greater use of renewable, clean energy sources.

Sincerely, Stuart Charme

Charlotte Bliss 76 Academy Rd Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004-2502

Jul 31, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

I would like to install solar and vigorously oppose these changes. As a person with breathing limitations, it's important to me to promote clean air. Don't make it harder and more expensive for me to breathe.

Charlotte Bliss 76 Academy Road Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Sincerely,

Charlotte Bliss

Krista Kahler 1249 Phoenix Rd Philipsburg, PA 16866-8839

Jul 31, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

The proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive for Pennsylvanians to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fes to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Krista Kahler

Carol Fleischman 146 Simpson Rd Ardmore, PA 19003-2122 (610) 649-3872

Jul 31, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Urgent Message-Rooftop Solar in Pennsylvania

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Your proposed plan to limit the ability of Pennsylvanians to install rooftop solar is a disgrace.

While other states pursue diversity in electricity generation and innovative programs, you are sending Pennsylvania back to the Dark Ages. Clearly, the political influence of the coal and gas companies are at work here. This is a disgrace. As PUC Commissioners, you should be creating excellent energy policies to benefit Pennsylvanians. Please take your work seriously, and read below.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Carol Fleischman

Willard McKay 325 Level Rd Collegeville, PA 19426-3455 (610) 283-8533

Jul 31, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I am a forgiving person.and maybe no one told you that you are to represent the public, not the special interest of utilities.

People should receive fair wholesale value for electricity they put back in the grid. It is in the general interest for people to reduce the need for oil and coal.

I commend you if you have read the above!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Willard McKay

Carol Tenneriello 7433 Sprague St Philadelphia, PA 19119-1037

Jul 31, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use. It's equivalent to adding a special tax to solar! We should be encouraging solar not taxing it further!

Sincerely, Carol Tenneriello

Carol Tenneriello 7433 Sprague St Philadelphia, PA 19119-1037

Jul 31, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use. It's equivalent to adding a special tax to solar!

Sincerely, Carol Tenneriello

Shellie Northrop 124 Frances St Sayre, PA 18840-1303

Jul 31, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Encourage Solar Power, Not Discourage It

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

We need to get away from fossil fuels and all the destructiveness required for its production. We need to use more renewable energy. Many feel that it won't be until people make changes at their own level that we will see the full benefit to our environment. Anything that discourages Pennsylvanians from installing solar generation of energy to their homes is a huge mistake. Pennsylvania already lags behind other states in incentives for making this transition at the individual level. We don't need to add disincentives on top of this!

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Shellie Northrop

Susan Christian 28 W Haines St Philadelphia, PA 19144-2706

Aug 1, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. My specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should not have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Susan Christian

Michelle Dugan 222 Maypole Rd Upper Darby, PA 19082-4106

Aug 1, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

We had solar panels installed nearly a year ago thanks to the support of our government. A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Solar energy represents the best option for the public. Private industry and government can work hand in hand to save money and save our planet.

Sincerely, Michelle Dugan

Michael Rollison 226 Lake Rd Lake Ariel, PA 18436-4062 (570) 561-5509

Aug 1, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.

3

Sincerely, Michael Rollison

Margaret Bakker 243 Jackson Rd Shavertown, PA 18708-8003

Aug 1, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

I oppose new rules that will limit the benefits of solar energy in Pennsylvania!

Don't create unnecessary barriers to Pennsylvanians wanting to save money and protect the climate and environment by going solar.

Sincerely, Margaret Bakker

Robert Olson 1 Main St Apt 3306 Nyack, NY 10960-3236

Aug 1, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

The commission should be doing what is good for the people not big business.

Sincerely, Robert Olson

Walter Clark 424 Wayne St Bethany, PA 18431-5910 (570) 253-4964

Aug 1, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely,

Walter H. Clark

424 Wayne Street Bethany,Pa. 18431-5910 570-253-4964

Sincerely,

Walter Clark

Joseph Magid 411 Holly Ln Wynnewood, PA 19096-1616

Aug 1, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should encourage solar, not charge fees or creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to installing solar. My concerns with the proposal include:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with either small scale wind or solar installations. Such a fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar, wind and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

It is strongly in the public interest to encourage the installation of distributed renewable electric generation and as the Public Utilities Commission you should be acting in the interest of the residents of Pennsylvania.

Sincerely, Joseph Magid

Brian Baldridge 1701 Fulton St Harrisburg, PA 17102-1632 (717) 233-3071

Aug 2, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

The canned message is below. I just wanted to add that fossil energy companies have only their own interests at heart. You are supposed to have the interests of the citizens of Pennsylvania in yours. Blocking solar is nothing but greed. Please do not let this happen

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Brian Baldridge

Celia M Janosik 305 Hoenig Rd Sewickley, PA 15143-9674

Aug 2, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.
- 4. PUC who's side are you on? Hopefully Mother Earths as she needs all the help that she can get along with ordinary working people of PA. Solar power should have been favored over fossil fuels since the seventies when President Carter put a solar panel on the Whitehouse roof. We have a lot of time to make up so please make solar powered electricity as cheap as possible.

Sincerely, Celia M Janosik

Sigrid Asmus 4009 24th Ave W Seattle, WA 98199-1505

Aug 3, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

I am writing you personally to urge you as forcefully as I can to oppose any fees and barriers that may prevent the manufacture, use, installation, connection to the electrical grid, and fair taxation that are intended to limit the use of the solar panels. At a time of escalating climate breakdown, I ask Pennsylvania to provide every legal support possible to the use of solar energy, and to create legislation that enhances the use of solar panels in your state.

It is the mission of any Public Utilities Commission to protect the public interest, not give special treatment to or support legislation backed by unaccountable fossil fuel corporations.

A transition to solar power is crucial to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a

customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Your action to support solar energy is the wisest long-term action you could take to protect the people of Pennsylvania for the foreseeable future. Don't waste your chance.

Sincerely, Sigrid Asmus

Christopher Streib 6550 Lilac St Pittsburgh, PA 15217-3034

Aug 3, 2014

Public Utility Commissioners

Subject: Pennsylvania should be encouraging solar, not charging fees and creating barriers.

Dear Public Utility Commissioners,

Let's move forward, not backward. There is nothing wrong with alternative energy -- especially to supplement dirty, limited sources.

A transition to solar power is critical to protecting the health of Pennsylvanians, the natural beauty of the Commonwealth, and avoiding the worst impacts of climate disruption. Net metering is one of the most important policies we have to bring about this transition. Unfortunately, the proposed changes to net metering rules would make it more expensive to install solar systems, and would allow utilities to create additional barriers to going solar. Our specific concerns with the proposal are as follows:

- 1. The Commission should NOT have the authority to allow utilities to charge a new special monthly fees to customers with solar. This new fee would violate the AEPS guarantee that net metered customers receive the full retail rate for all generation of their solar installation up to their annual usage. A fee would erode that right to full retail rate.
- 2. The proposed new definition for "utility" threatens the third-party ownership model (such as solar leasing and power purchase agreements) for solar and other distributed generation. The Commission should amend the definition of "utility" so it explicitly excludes third-party ownership of solar.
- 3. New system generation should not be limited to 110% of the customer-generator's annual electric consumption. There are already size limits on net-metered systems. This additional generation limit is unnecessary and only adds additional uncertainty and regulatory cost. There is no incentive to over-size systems since any annual surplus production does not receive net metering treatment and is compensated at the lower price-to-compare rate. The new size limit would be difficult to apply (especially in new construction or gut rehab projects) and could present additional time and expense for customers. It is also unclear from the language what would happen if a customer-generators electric demand shrinks over time due to conservation or changes in building use.

Sincerely, Christopher Streib